

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/20/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/080,831	02/21/2002	Yoshinori Hino	10417-119001 / F51-143213	9597
26211	7590 08/20/2003	•		
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			EXAMINER	
	45 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA, SUITE 2800 NEW YORK, NY 10111		NGUYEN, JOSEPH H	
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				QM.				
	1	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/080,831	HINO ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Joseph Nguyen	2815					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a representation of the provision of	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however reply within the statutory minim od will apply and will expire Si tute. cause the application to b	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered tin X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nely. s communication.				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u> 7 June 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐	This action is non-fina	al.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		1100 0 440(-) (d) (6)					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachment(s)								
2) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Notice of Informal Patent Application (Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Minami et al.

Regarding claim 1, Minami et al discloses on figure 15 a semiconductor device comprising a plurality of regions representing output bits 36; and a dummy pattern 37 having the same shape as the output bits formed to be adjacent to the end portions of output bit group.

Regarding claim 2, Minami et al discloses on figure 15 a semiconductor device for a driver comprising a plurality of output one bits 36 constituting an output bit group;

Application/Control Number: 10/080,831

Art Unit: 2815

and a dummy pattern 37 having the same shape as the output bits formed to be adjacent to the end portion of the output bit group.

Regarding claims 3-6, Minami et al discloses on figure 15 all the structures set forth in the claimed invention.

Regarding claim 7, Minami et al discloses on figure 15 a pattern layout method of a semiconductor device arranged with plural output bits comprising the step of forming a dummy pattern 37 having the same shape as the output bits to be adjacent to an end portion of an output bit group.

Regarding claim 8, Minami et al discloses on figure 15 a pattern layout method of a semiconductor device arranged with plural output one bits and constituting an output bit group comprising the step of forming a dummy pattern 37 having the same shape as the output bits to be adjacent to an end portion of an output bit group.

Regarding claims 9-12, Minami et al discloses on figure 15 all steps of the method set forth in the claimed invention.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 6/27/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues in Minami wiring 36 is not equivalent to an output bit as recited in claim 1 and that dummy pattern 37 has a different shape than wiring 36. However, the output bits are simply wirings connected to a source in a memory cell. So-called output bits in the claimed invention are not specifically defined in such a way it

Application/Control Number: 10/080,831

Art Unit: 2815

structurally distinguishes from wiring 36 of Minami therein. Further, the dummy patterns 37 are clearly having the same shape as that of the output bits to be adjacent to the end portion of an output bit group 36. As such, Minami reads on the claimed invention.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-1269. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30 am- 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (703) 308-1690. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7382 for regular communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

JN August 18, 2003

> EDDIE LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800